UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,944	05/01/2007	Erik Berminge	47113-5094-00-US	2359
	7590 10/06/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET, N.W.			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,944	BERMINGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SARA ADDISU	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Margon</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the pract	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2006 is/are:	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

-The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is in claim format and contains legal phraseology, e.g. "comprising".. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 1, 2, 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pano (USP 5,112,164) in view of Lagerberg (USP 6,705,805).

PANO teaches a cutting tool for parting and grooving operations, comprising a coupling part (1) intended for mounting the cutting tool in a machine tool, and a tool head (11) detachably connected to the coupling part, the tool head comprising a basic holder part (13) and, a blade part (12) joined to the basic holder part in a front portion of which an insert pocket is formed, in which a cutting insert (20) is fastenable ('164, figure 1a). PANO also teaches the basic holder part (13) and the blade part (12) being formed in one piece, the basic holder part (13) having considerably larger width than the blade part ('164, figure 1B).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,944 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

However, PANO fails to teach supplying cooling agent to the insert.

LAGERBERG teaches a cutting tool having a cooling agent supplying means including a nozzle/tube member (20) mounted in a through hole recess (6) which is transverse to a longitudinal direction of the blade part and arranged in the tool head ('805, figures 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 58-59). LAGERBERG also teaches the tube member (20) having an inlet (via channels 13, 17, 18, 19) for connection to a cooling agent source and an outlet for leading the cooling agent in a direction towards the cutting insert ('805, figures 1 and 2). Furthermore, LAGERBERG teaches in figure 1, the outlet of the tube member (1.e. the rightmost end of channel 13) being (at substantially the same level vertically as the cutting insert (4) in the insert pocket. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Examiner is defining the tube member to be (20, 19 and 20 as a unit) therefore, portion (15) of the tube member is different in diameter than portions 19/20 and portion (15) is considered to be the lead channel.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,944 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sara Addisu at (571) 272-6082. The examiner can

normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sara Addisu/

Examiner, Art Unit 3724

9/29/08

/Boyer D. Ashley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724